

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/604,135		06/27/2003	Reinhard Lihl	LVIP106US 1134		
24041	7590	08/08/2005		EXAMINER		
SIMPSON 5555 MAIN		SON, PLLC		BLAKE, CAROLYN T		
		NY 14221-5406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3724		
				DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\epsilon$						
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
Advisory Action	10/604,135	LIHL ET AL.							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Carolyn T. Blake	3724							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>									
b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing depends on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have									
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because									
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	-	jected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	·								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendr	nent canceling						
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>		vill be entered and an	explanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	aul								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected:	Allan N. Shoap								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Supervisory Patent Exe	aminer							
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b			ant he entered						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or atta	ched.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
the Remarks/Arguments are not considered persuasive illumination system" and "internal preparation system," alternative definitions. While Applicant can act as his/h	<ul> <li>Applicant argues that several emain are defined in the specification and</li> </ul>	nployed terms, such a d Examiner is not at I	s "incident iberty to employ						

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Simply describing drawings in the specification does not qualify as an absolute definition that would limit the claims. Even though the references cited do not teach the specific terminology claimed by Applicant, they do teach an equivalent structure,

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

and thus can be applied.